WASHINGTON POST

DATE: 6 Feb 69

AGE 22

Foreign Commitment Review

The impact of American troop commitments abroad upon foreign policy is certainly a fruitful field for inquiry. Members of Congress and others have shown increasing concern in recent years over the seeming modification of the United States' obligations abroad by the dispatch of troops to other lands. How far should this policy extend? Should it be left solely to the discretion of the President? At the moment the Senate Foreign Relations Committee has merely ordered a comprehensive review of the facts and the problems growing out of them. Behind the investigation, however, loom major questions as to how foreign policy shall be made.

The investigating subcommittee headed by Senator Symington will steer clear of Vietnam, not only because it is a special problem but also because of the delicate peace negotiations in Paris. Apparently there is no such immunity, however, for the strange U.S. commitment to Thailand, and

properly so. Thail is the prime example of how the nature of breign policy can be transformed by the dispa of large numbers of American troops to a fon land.

Under the South Asia Treaty, the United States has an oblion to consult with other SEATO members iny one of them should be attacked. Thailand a member of SEATO. Aggression against Thind would thus raise a grave question for the Ued States in any event, but under the treaty thountry would not be obliged to respond militarilo the attack. Its treaty commitment goes no ther than consultation with the other members the best means of meeting a common danger.

But this view dhe situation has been drastically changed in t by the building of immense bases in Thailand and the stationing of some 50,000 Americans uniform there. In these circumstances, an atk on Thailand would almost inevitably involve ir military personnel, and in view of our exterve military cooperation with them the Thais wed have good reason to expect American protecti.

One of the facts that seems to worry the Foreign Relations Comittee is that the dispatch of troops to Thailandwholly at the discretion of the President, has thuchanged the nature of the U.S. de facto commitment. Another troublesome fact is that the transfirmation was brought about by secret agreement with the Thais. The Senate's consent to commitments binding the United States to participation in the defense of another country thus seems to have been short-circuited.

At this point tere is, of course, no indication as to what the Seate may wish to do about this and similar situators. The first step is to spread the facts on the record. But the Senate has an immediate and vial interest in determining the extent to which the military tail wags the foreign policy dog, and the country will be most total ested in hearing what the Fereign Relations committee proposes to do about it.